



# INNOVATIVE PRACTICES OF GREEN CHEMISTRY AND CATALYSIS IN CROP PRODUCTION BY SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT

Dr. Nisha Tiwari <sup>1</sup>, Dr. Meena Kshetrapal <sup>2</sup> and Dr. Madhuri Nanda <sup>3</sup>

Assistant Professor Chemistry

Govt Bilasa Girls PG College, Bilaspur (CG)

Bilaspur University Chhattisgarh

[1. nishatiwari.cg@gmail.com](mailto:1.nishatiwari.cg@gmail.com), [2. meenark13@gmail.com](mailto:2.meenark13@gmail.com), [3. madhuri11159@gmail.com](mailto:3.madhuri11159@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Green Chemistry and Catalysis is field of applications and specific features of efficient, environment friendly process. Principle way to produce products of practical applications as plant protection agents. During transformation of starting materials into desired final products many heavy waste materials are produced which create heavy burden on environment. Problems are minimized by use of green catalyst. Major advantages of catalyst are elimination of dangerous, inconvenient and expensive reactants, low investment cost and low energy consumption.

Soil quality plays an important role in sustaining food security and essential ecosystem function. Modern techniques of farming like use of fertilizers, pesticides and insecticides replaced sound ecologically practices and heavy machinery cut short the time for soil to rejuvenate. Soil health degraded due to physical, chemical and biological changes. Apart from yield, growth decline, falling soil quality, hindered normal function of soil in chemical reactions. In fact farmers will be able to maintain soil organic carbon (SOC) and prevent soil fertility by manuring, infusion of crops by minimum disturbance to soil by simple tools. Various Physical and Chemical parameters pH, Conductance, Alkalinity, Acidity, essential and trace elements like N, P, K, S, Zn, Fe

were studied. So soil health management strategies were found out for quality production.

Soil Rejuvenation and Productivity Enhancement Project in field of agriculture is possible with the efficient use of available resources.

## Materials and Methods

Soil testing is an important tool to know about soil health and prepare action plan for responsible nutrient application and reclamation of problematic soils.

Soil samples were collected and following parameters analyzed through pH meter, Conductivity meter, Flame Photometer, Spectrophotometer and by Quantitative Estimations.

1. Basic Parameters - pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Organic Carbon (OC)
2. Major nutrient - N, P, K
3. Secondary Nutrient- S
4. Micronutrient - Zn, B, Fe, Mn, Cu

In past year through KISAN HEALTH CARD recommendations for soil health management was applied in our farming land. Some of the Green Chemistry Principles were also applied on our farming land.

## Result and Discussion

Soil health assessment was done by measurement of various parameters through qualitative and quantitative estimation.

**Table-1 Nutrient deficiency percentage**

| S. No. | Nutrient | Chhattisgarh | India |
|--------|----------|--------------|-------|
| 1      | N        | 100          | 95    |
| 2      | P        | 100          | 95    |
| 3      | K        | 59           | 48    |
| 4      | S        | -            | 24.7  |
| 5      | Zn       | 20.1         | 43.4  |
| 6      | Fe       | 6.8          | 14.4  |
| 7      | Cu       | 3.2          | 6.1   |
| 8      | Mn       | 14.1         | 7.9   |
| 9      | B        | -            | 20.6  |

**Table-2 National project on soil health management in Chhattisgarh**

| Fund Distribution         | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | Total amt released(lacs) |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------|
| Amount released (lacs)    | 0       | 0       | 59.40   | 0       | 0       | 72.05   | 131.85                   |
| Manage Cost (lacs)        | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 21.75   | 9.25    | 31.00                    |
| Fertilizers cost(lacs)    | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 69.862  | 293.18  | 363.04                   |
| Total fund in India(lacs) | 166.25  | 3796.00 | 1689.84 | 1129.73 | 851.33  | 1924.80 | 11053.96                 |

**Table-3 Effect of land degradation**

| S.No. | Area affected           | Chhattisgarh(000 hectare) | India (000 hectare) |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | Water and wind erosion  | 2422                      | 94864               |
| 2     | Water logged            | 0                         | 915                 |
| 3     | Alkali/Sodic soil       | 13                        | 3708                |
| 4     | Acid soil               | 2342                      | 17926               |
| 5     | Saline soil             | 0                         | 2729                |
| 6     | Mining/industrial water | 7                         | 258                 |
| 7     | Degraded area           | 4784                      | 120404              |
| 8     | Geographical Area       | 13481                     | 328726              |
| 9     | Wind erosion            | -                         | 11560               |

**Table-4 Soil health analysis in Churaghat village, Bilha, Bilaspur (CG)**

| S.No. | Parameters | Sample A        | Sample B         |
|-------|------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1     | pH         | 7.4             | 7.2              |
| 2     | EC         | 0.75            | 0.68             |
| 3     | OC         | 0.7%            | 0.9%             |
| 4     | N          | 202 kg/hectare  | 312 kg/hectare   |
| 5     | P          | 13.5 kg/hectare | 13.25 kg/hectare |
| 6     | K          | 210 kg/hectare  | 125 kg/hectare   |
| 7     | S          | 18.70 ppm       | 18.70 ppm        |
| 8     | Zn         | 2.16 ppm        | 1.66 ppm         |
| 9     | B          | 4.0 ppm         | 4.0 ppm          |
| 10    | Fe         | 36.64 ppm       | 37.24 ppm        |
| 11    | Mn         | 30.80 ppm       | 48.80 ppm        |
| 12    | Cu         | 1.14 ppm        | 1.11 ppm         |

**Table-5 Fertilizers recommended for sample A**

| S.No | Crops | Bio-fertilizers           |                                       | Fertilizer combination for NPK |                |
|------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 1    | Paddy | Compost<br>4 tone/hectare | Phosphate<br>solubilizing<br>Bacteria | Neem coated urea               | 289 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Single super<br>phosphate      | 375 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Potassium Chloride             | 67 kg/hectare  |
| 2    | Wheat | Compost<br>4 tone/hectare | Phosphate<br>solubilizing<br>Bacteria | Neem coated urea               | 289 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Single super<br>phosphate      | 375 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Potassium Chloride             | 67 kg/hectare  |

**Table-6 Fertilizers recommended for sample B**

| S.No | Crops | Bio-fertilizers           |                                       | Fertilizer combination for NPK |                |
|------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 1    | Paddy | Compost<br>3 tone/hectare | Phosphate<br>solubilizing<br>Bacteria | Neem coated urea               | 217 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Single super<br>phosphate      | 375 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Potassium Chloride             | 67 kg/hectare  |
| 2    | Wheat | Compost<br>3 tone/hectare | Phosphate<br>solubilizing<br>Bacteria | Neem coated urea               | 217 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Single super<br>phosphate      | 375 kg/hectare |
|      |       |                           |                                       | Potassium Chloride             | 67 kg/hectare  |

**Table-7 Soil Health management from 2014-2018 in Churaghat village, Bilha, Bilaspur**

| S.No. | Soil conservation strategy                           | Result   |
|-------|--|--|
| 1     | Crop rotation  | Improves nutrient cycling ,crop production, holds soil moisture and decreases use of pesticides.                             |
| 2     | Cover crop-<br>Sudan & Napier grass                  | Increases organic matter, crop production , nutrient use efficiency, suppresses weeds.                                       |
| 3     | Mulching<br>(applying plant residue to soil surface) | Reduces soil erosion from wing and rain, conserve soil moisture, dust. Increases crop production, decreases pesticide usage. |
| 4     | Nutrient management                                  | Increases plant nutrient uptake, physical, biological, chemical properties of soil.  |
| 5     | Pest management                                      | Increases soil organic matter, decreases pesticides risks to soil air and water.   |
| 6     | Green Manuring (Dhaincha)                            | Grafting Dhaincha to improve soil quality  |

### Conclusion

Green Revolution shows significant growth in food production but it results soil fatigue and soil exploitation. Increasing food demand and applied fertilizers increases productivity but declines soil health day by day. Today nutrient use efficiency of soil degraded due to physical, biological and chemical health factors of environment. Soil health management is very important research field for increasing soil fertility and crop productivity. Green manure like Dhaincha , Cover Crop- Napier grass and Sudan plays important role as green catalyst for crop production and soil health rejuvenation.

### References

1. A comparison of soil quality indexing methods for vegetable production systems in Northern California.
2. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 90, 25-45. Antil, R.S., Narwal, R.P., Singh, B. and Singh, J.P. (2011).
3. Long-term effects of FYM and N on soil health under pearl millet-wheat cropping system. Indian Journal of Fertilisers 7, 14-32.

4. Bijay-Singh and Ryan John (2015) Managing fertilizers to enhance soil health. First Edition, IFA. ([www.univie.ac.at/igl.geschichte/umwel\\_tgeschichte/ws2003](http://www.univie.ac.at/igl.geschichte/umwel_tgeschichte/ws2003)).
5. The role of soils in sustaining society and environment for the 21st Century. Keynote Lecture during the Plenary Session of the 17 World Congress on Soil Science by the IUSS Secretary General
6. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering 109, 419-434. Doran, J.W. and Parkin, T.B. (1994)
7. Defining and assessing soil quality. In Defining and Assessing Soil Quality for a Sustainable Environment (Doran, J.W., Molina, J.A.E., Harris, R.F. (Eds.)